ATSR Performance and Validation

Dr David Smith STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

With contributions from Dr John Delderfield and Dr Caroline Poulsen, RAL and Dr Gary Corlett, University of Leicester



1991-2000 ATSR-1



ATSR Series

1995-2008 ATSR-2











Some statistics

- The ATSR series combined has covered more than 5-billion km on ground
 - ATSR-2 Scan Mechanism had completed an estimated 2.5 billion revs. before the end of mission
 - The ATSR series has suffered only three major anomalies in >18years of operations
 - ATSR-1 3.7um failure in May 1992
 - ATSR-2 Scan mirror stalled between Dec-1995 to Jun-1996
 - ATSR-2 Scan mirror failure Feb-1998



Cooler Performance









Detector Performance



Radiometric Noise Performance



Blackbody Performance



ATSh

ATSR: Long Term Stability



- Match-ups of 1-km SST and nearest buoy observation within 1-km and 120 minutes
- No post-filtering, hence large number of outliers
- Match-ups shown for drifting buoys and TAO/TRITON/PIRATA/RAMA arrays



ATSR: Long-term accuracy

ATSR versus drifters

ATSR	Number	Mean (K)	SD (K)	Median (K)	RSD (K)
AATSR Night (3-ch)	10682	+0.09	0.36	+0.11	0.32
ATSR-2 Night (3-ch)	5349	+0.07	0.61	+0.07	0.37
ATSR-1 Night (3-ch)	252	+0.08	0.78	+0.07	0.50

Data from ESA L2P MDB

Buoy (sub-skin) vs. AATSR (sub-skin) and Radiometer (skin) vs. AATSR (skin)

	Buoy			Radiometer			
Reference	No.	Mean (K)	SD (K)	No.	Mean (K)	SD (K)	
ISAR Night	752	+0.03	0.27	1130	+0.02	0.24	
M-AERI Night	6/2	+0.10	0.31	936	+0.09	0.29	

Data from Peter Minnett (RSMAS), Werenfrid Wimmer (NOCS) and Medspiration MDB

Visible Channel Calibration



Vicarious Calibration Using Stable Targets



- Large area desert and ice sites can provide a useful site for vicarious calibration optical sensors measuring reflected Sunlight such as AATSR
- Key Assumptions
 - Uniform reflectance over large area
 - Long term-radiometric stability of the calibration sites ensures long-term stability of the top-of-the atmosphere (TOA) albedo (and of seasonal variations, if any) or reflectance over large spatially uniform areas.
 - High surface reflectance to maximise the signal-to-noise and minimise atmospheric effects on the radiation measured by the satellite



AATSR vs. MERIS



• Bias (Dec 2008) R_{AATSR}/R_{MERIS} $0.87\mu m = 1.027$ 0.011 $0.66\mu m = 1.001$ 0.010 $0.56\mu m = 1.025$ 0.010





AATSR vs. ATSR-2



Comparisons made with 1995-2000 ATSR-2 data for same view/solar geometry

Drift correction and 1.6µm nonlinearity correction applied

Bias R_{AATSR}/R_{MERIS}

= 1.004	0.011
= 1.091	0.015
= 1.091	0.011
= 1.113	0.016
	= 1.004 = 1.091 = 1.091 = 1.113



Multi-Sensor Comparisons over Stable Ice Target

100



	R _{AATSR} /R _{Ref}					
Wavelength	MERIS		MODIS		ATSR-2	
(nm)	Mean	Stdev	Mean	Stdev	Mean	Stdev
560	0.993	0.071	0.981	0.052	1.074	0.061
665	1.005	0.056	-	-	1.108	0.047
865	1.036	0.057	1.054	0.046	1.115	0.046
1640			Invalid	Invalid	1.008	0.489

- CEOS-IVOS
 intercomparison
 campaign over DOME-C
 site in progress
 - November 2008 to Feb 2009
 - Includes AATSR, MERIS, MODIS, VGT…



Why does this matter?



- **Time series of Aerosol Optical Depth over Asia derived from satellite measurements**
- Multi-View instruments (ATSR and MISR) give good agreement this would not be possible without on-board calibration
- With ATSR-2 data we have >14 years of data



Data courtesy of GlobAerosol project